



# Home Baked Flaky Biscuits Delicious Cake Healthful Food made with DR. PRICE'S CREAM Baking Powder

The product of Grapes

No Alum No Lime Phosphate

## "As Mad as a March Hare."

The spectacle of the President of the United States engaged in an unseemly public quarrel with an ex-President of the United States may be, as the saying hath it, "A sight for god's and men," but from the viewpoint of a people proud equally of their country's dignity and its power among the nations of the earth it is tragical.

One is moved to ask is the White House worth it to either of them?

Considered from the viewpoint of their antecedent relations it is pitiable. It is even ghastly. Yet Mr. Taft might have taken a shorter cut to the truth. He might have said:

"I personally know that Theodore Roosevelt is of unsound mind and I refuse to fight a madman."

That is nearer a just epitome of the situation than will be any of the specific counts in the indictment the Republican party first as most responsible, and the American people second as most deeply concerned must draw against the man whose insatiable thirst for power and love of display have brought so great a disgrace upon us.

If that one of the Caesars who goes by the name of Nero was insane Theodore Roosevelt, aspiring to be an imitation Caesar is insane.

He carries all the marks typical of the perverted understanding the devilish streak of viciousness, the ignoble malignancy; the logical intensity and inaccuracy of the lunatic.

Not one of the issues he has raised will support his claim or bear him out in the argument. His plan of campaign from start to finish has been just such an insane delusion and snare as a topsyturvy mind would conceive to be able to deceive; the crazy jungle hunt; the wild huntman at home again; the coy patriotism and prize fighting exploitation; the artfully cooked up popular demand; the bunco steering Governors; the theatrical ringmaster, booted and spurred; waiting the word; the final bursting of the star performer into the ring throwing his hat first in the air and then in the sawdust and shouting: "Have at ye all, ye bucks in the gallery; mee foot's upon mee native heath and mee name's Megregor!"

That is the long and the short of it. The man is a maniac. He knows not clearly what he does or says. Never an utterance of his will bear discussion or dissection. Never an act of his can be defended.

That he should cast friendship to the winds, intellectual dignity

to the dogs, his own antecedents to kingdom come were proof enough that he is mad; as mad as Hamlet.

That a few self-seeking politicians and traitorous newspaper editors should follow him is proof only that vanity and greed are still factors to be reckoned with in public life. That outside of these he gets any votes at all is proof of the adage that a fool is born every minute and that the poorest fake prophet can get up a religion and any quack doctor a richly profitable patent medicine.

Let his family and friends take him to an asylum before he does something irreparable and it is too late.—Currier-Journal.

## Many Farmers in the University.

The new catalogue just issued by the University of Missouri shows that the enrollment of students in the College of Agriculture has increased from 211 four years ago, to 794 during the present session. This is an increase of 276 per cent. Few colleges of Agriculture in the United States have had such a remarkable increase in their enrollment during the same period of time.

The students are distributed among the various divisions of the college as follows.

There are 32 in the Graduate Department, 457 in the Four Year College Course and 305 in the Two Year Course.

The graduating class this year numbers 61 students. In 1907 the graduating class numbered seven students. This large increase has taxed instructional facilities of the College to the utmost. Every class room and laboratory is filled with students. Because of the crowded condition it has been necessary to divide freshman students in Dairy Husbandry, Farm Crops and Live Stock Judging into eight sections.

Among the students are representatives from 18 states and four foreign countries.

## Dog Tax Notice.

Notice is hereby given to all owners of dogs that every dog found without wearing a tag will be taken up. If the tag is lost at any time, call on the City Marshal for a new one. The law will be strictly enforced without fear or favor.

FRANK HABERKORN.  
4-2411 mo. City Marshal

If your roof leaks and is too flat to put on shingles try Weather Proof Felt Roofing. Guaranteed as good as the best and at half the price of some others. MOOREHEAD sole agent for Lexington.

## FOR MISSOURI FARMERS

Experiment Station at Columbia, Mo., Issues Four New Bulletins for Farmers.

Bulletin No. 98, "The San Jose Scale in Missouri," tells the story of one of Missouri's worst orchard enemies. It gives a complete history of the San Jose Scale and how it spreads, together with detailed information concerning remedies and methods of control. The bulletin comprises 57 pages and fifteen illustrations. Every farmer who owns an orchard should have it. For free copy address Agricultural Experiment Station, Columbia, Mo.

Bulletin 99, "Inspection of Commercial Fertilizers" tells what the College of Agriculture has done during the year 1911 to prevent farmers from being defrauded by worthless fertilizers. It gives the analysis of every brand of fertilizer sold in Missouri, and tells exactly what every brand is worth in dollars and cents. No farmer should spend any money on commercial fertilizers without first reading this bulletin. It is free. Write to the Agricultural Experiment Station, Columbia, Mo., for a copy.

Circular 51 tells how to prolong the life of fence posts by the use of creosote. Complete details are given for applying the treatment, its cost and its effectiveness. The information contained in this little four-page circular is worth a good many dollars to every farmer who uses wood posts for fencing. Write to the Agricultural Experiment Station, Columbia, Mo., for a free copy. Ask for Circular 51.

Growing a Woodlot from seed is the title of an eight page circular which should be in the hands of every farmer who maintains a woodlot to furnish fuel, fence posts and other wood material for which there is a constant demand on the farm. The circular tells how to keep the old woodlot well stocked, and how to start a new one, what trees to select, how to grow them from seed and how to plant the seedlings. Write to the Agricultural Experiment Station and ask for a free copy of Circular No. 52.

We invite the Ladies to visit our Green House, and examine the fine assortment of cut flowers and blooming plants. We can supply your wants in anything in our line.

JNO. H. EGGLESTON.

## Boston Shoe Repairing Co.

Men's sewed half soles 85c tacked 50 & 60c, Ladies' sewed 60c tacked 40c, Heels 25c, Rubber Heels 40c, Children's half soles 35c. While you wait.

817 Main St. Stalling Bldg. Lexington, Mo.

## The First of the Season Strawberry Ice Cream Made From the Fresh Fruit

'Phone in your orders now

Hinesley's  
Phone 64

Dr. Z. M. Williams went to Hume, Mo., Wednesday to attend a meeting of the Nevada District Conference of the M. E. Church South.

E. M. Taubman spent Wednesday in Kansas City.

Miss Lee Meng returned from Lincoln, Nebraska, where she has been visiting relatives.

Rev. C. M. Davenport, Mrs. C. T. Ryland and Miss Bell Greene went to Green Ridge Wednesday to attend the meeting of the District Conference.

## For Sale.

On 17th street, 8 rooms and bath. About one acre of ground. Good buildings. A bargain if sold at once.

JOHN J. PRICE.

## BIG PRIZES TO FARM BOYS

For Best Acre Grown by Lads in Three States, \$800 in Gold.

Every boy in this neighborhood will be interested in the \$800 in gold which will be given by The Weekly Kansas City Star for the best acres of corn grown by boys in Kansas, Missouri and Oklahoma this year. There will be four classes with a big \$200 purse in each class for the boy who grows the best acre of corn. Simple rules will give boys a chance on all kinds of land to win, since practicability of methods and other provisions will allow every boy credit for merit all along the line. With corn grown on the contest acre the boy can enter many other contests for prizes offered by the state agricultural college. Any boy 18 years of age and under can enter the contest.

No boy who grows a contest acre can lose, for if he doesn't win a prize he has produced a valuable crop of corn. Many boys in the South who have been growing corn in government contests sell seed corn at from \$2 to \$4 a bushel. Seed corn grows bred.

Boy corn breeders can make money in every neighborhood. All so they have a chance to win the big Weekly Star prize, and on top of it all every boy's contest plot will make for a bigger yield of corn in the community.

All the boys are wanted in the contest. It is easy to enter and The Weekly Star charges nothing at any stage of the game.

It is a big corn growing race for the boys, with all the fun of a contest and lots more to it besides. The pupils of entire schools are invited to join. Contestants must enter now.

The state agricultural colleges in Kansas, Missouri and Oklahoma will feature The Weekly Star contest in connection with many prizes offered the boys and girls on the farm.

Write at once to The Weekly Star, Kansas City, Mo., and receive entry blank and learn all about the contest.

The Weekly Star is the most comprehensive farm paper. All the news intelligently told. Farm questions answered by a practical farmer and experimenter. Contains exactly what you want in market reports. One year, best in the locality where it is 25 cents.

Address The Weekly Star, Kansas City, Mo.

## EXECUTOR'S NOTICE.

Letters testamentary on the estate of Mary E. Aull deceased, were granted to the undersigned on the 13th day of April, 1912, by the Probate Court of Lafayette County, Missouri. All persons having claims against said estate are required to exhibit them for allowance to the executor within six months after the date of said letters or they may be precluded from any benefit of such estate; and if such claims be not exhibited within one year from the date of said letters they will be forever barred.

WILLIAM AULL,  
Executor.

## EATS WHAT HE LIKES AFTER TAKING FREE SAMPLE

It will be welcome news to dyspeptics to learn of a remedy that, in the opinion of thousands, is an absolute cure for indigestion and all forms of stomach trouble, and, better still, it is guaranteed to do so. The remedy is Dr. Caldwell's Syrup Pepsin.

We all know the value of pure pepsin in indigestion, and add to this some exceptional laxative ingredients and you have a truly wonderful remedy. Mr. T. W. Worthy of Forsythe, Ga., got to the point where he could not even eat or digest vegetables and after many years of seeking he found the cure in Dr. Caldwell's Syrup Pepsin. Mr. Rudy Kasper of Moline, Ill., was in the same bad predicament with his stomach, took Syrup Pepsin and is now cured.

Hundreds of others would gladly testify.

It is a guaranteed cure for indigestion, constipation, biliousness, headaches, gas on the stomach and similar complaints. A bottle can be had at any drug store for fifty cents or a dollar, but if you wish to make a test of it first, send your address to Dr. Caldwell and he will supply a free sample bottle, sent direct to your address. You will soon admit that you have found something to replace salts, cathartics, breath perfumes and other temporary reliefs. Syrup Pepsin will cure you permanently.

For the free sample address Dr. W. B. Caldwell, 402 Caldwell building, Monticello, Ill.

M. S. Clarence Vivion went to city Tuesday to visit her daughter, Mrs. Chas. Owen.

Mr. and Mrs. G. W. Graham went to Wellington Tuesday to visit with friends and relatives.

## ORDER OF PUBLICATION

COUNTY OF LAFAYETTE, Mo.  
STATE OF MISSOURI  
In the circuit court of Lafayette County, Missouri, February term, 1912, Charles R. Clark, David Clark, an insane person, by D. W. Clark, an insensible person, and Elizabeth Butner-Plattin, vs.

Eliza Payne, John Clark, George Gilbert Clark, William Joseph Clark, Robert Lee Clark, Emma Askins, Josephine Lankins, the unknown persons, other heirs at law of Robert J. Hendrick, deceased, who are descendants of Mary Payne, deceased, who was a daughter of Zachariah Clark, deceased, Mary E. Buckmaster, Lucy A. Gossett, Joseph B. Burrow, Hattie C. Branch, Willie F. Elliott, George Henry Amick, a minor, William P. Clark, Mary A. Ray, James Clark, Ida M. Stokes, Lester P. Atwood, Edward Atchberry, Martin Atchberry, Rose E. Bruno, Bettie S. Hawkins, Nora Hall, the other unknown heirs at law of Robert J. Hendrick, deceased, who are descendants of William J. Hendrick, deceased, Sarah Keino, Corolla Gaines, James P. Hendrick, Rebecca Lindsay, J. Hendrick, Chas. E. Bolt, Virginia Hutchason, Nancy Grace Crutcher, Chas. E. Hendrick, Edna E. Wilcox, the other unknown heirs at law of Robert J. Hendrick, deceased, who are descendants of Martha Gaudin, deceased, William H. Ray, and John C. Ray—Defendants.

It appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the former plaintiff herein, David Clark, has departed this life intestate, leaving as his only heirs at law, his widow, Corolla E. Clark, his children, Joseph W. Clark, Louis E. Clark, Sarah E. Clark, David W. Clark, Mary E. Clark, Charles M. Clark, Lillian A. Covey, Louis E. Clark, and his grandchildren, Cora E. Deacon, Anna W. Guttridge, and Nellie M. Freelove, who are necessary parties to the further prosecution of this action, on motion of said widow and heirs of said David Clark, deceased, it is ordered by the court that this cause be revived and stand widow and heirs of said David Clark, deceased, as plaintiffs herein, in place of said David Clark, deceased, until the cause be shown against said revival on or before the fourth day of the next term of this court.

It is therefore ordered by the court that a copy hereof be published in the county of Lafayette, for four weeks successively, published at least once a week, the last insertion to be at least seven days before the first day of said next June, 1912, term of this court. If any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 1912, and show cause, if any you have, why this cause should not stand revived in the names of the said David Clark, deceased, and his heirs at law, in the City of Lexington in Lafayette County Missouri, on the tenth day of June A. D., 1912, being the second Monday in June, 19